IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWELFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA
PROBATE DIVISION

IN RE: GUARDIANSHIP OF

LEON BLOOM,

Case Nos.: 2014-GA-003747-NC

ORDER DIRECTING MARC J. SOSS TO RETURN FUNDS TO TRUST

THIS CAUSE came on for hearing before the Court on September 12, 2018, upon

the Supplemental Motion for Order Compelling Return of Funds Converted from Trust,

filed on March 20, 2018, and the previously filed Amended Motion for Order Compelling

Return of Funds Converted from Trust (collectively, the "Motion"), both filed by Marshall

Bloom, together with the Trustee's Notice of Joinder in the Motion, to compel Marc J.

Soss to return monies to the Leon Bloom Revocable Living Trust u/a/d 11/18/1988, as

Restated (the "Trust"). On the aforementioned date, the Court heard testimony, received

deposition testimony and other documents into evidence, and heard arguments of counsel.

Based on the foregoing, the Court makes the following

FINDINGS OF FACT:

1. The Court's findings of fact were read into the record in open court on

September 12, 2018. The transcript of the Court's findings is attached hereto as Exhibit

"A" and incorporated herein by reference.

2. The Trustee of the Trust, Robert M. Elliott, stipulated in open court that a

reasonable fee for Marc J. Soss rendered in Appeal No.: 2D16-4185, which was the

subject of an Order from the District Court of Appeal awarding entitlement to attorney's

fees was \$7,350.00, plus costs in the amount of \$50.50 for a total of \$7,400.50. As

1

referenced in the transcript attached hereto, Marc Soss shall receive a credit in that amount against the \$25,360.00 Marc Soss removed from the Trust when he was the putative trustee. This leaves a balance due and owing to the Trust from Marc J. Soss of \$17,959.50.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, as follows:

- 1. The Motion is granted, in part. Marc J. Soss, 11010 Hyacinth Place, Lakewood Ranch, FL 34202, is hereby ordered to return to Robert M. Elliott, Trustee of the Trust, the sum of SEVENTEEN THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY-NINE DOLLARS AND FIFTY CENTS (\$17,959.50) U.S. Funds.
- 2. Repayment of this amount shall be made within 90 days of the date of this Order.
- 3. The Court declines to order the payment of interest on the aforesaid amount.
 - 4. Any and all other relief requested in the Motion is denied.

DONE AND ORDERED in Sarasota County, Florida, on this 3 day of

October, 2018.

HONORABLE FREDERICK MERCURIO
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

Copies to:

James L. Essenson, Esquire, 2071 Main Street, Sarasota, FL 34237
Marc J. Soss, Esquire, P.O. Box 110127, Lakewood Ranch, FL 34211
Allan F. Baily, Esquire, 46 N. Washington Blvd, Suite 18, Sarasota, FL 34236
G. Logan Elliott, Esquire, 5105 Manatee Ave. W. Ste. 15A, Bradenton, FL 34209
John D. Hawkins, Esq., 1023 Manatee Ave. W., Bradenton, FL 34205
James C. Hauser, Esquire, 750 Live Oak Street, Maitland, FL 32751
F:\client list\& GUARDIANSHIP Administration\Bloom.Johnson\Pleadings\Order.directing.Soss.Return.Funds.2018.9.docx

```
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA
                      PROBATE DIVISION
               File Number: 2014 GA 003747 NC
    IN RE: GUARDIANSHIP OF
    LEON BLOOM
 8
 9
10
              TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11
               The Honorable Frederick P. Mercurio
12
    BEFORE:
               Lynn N. Silvertooth Judicial Center
    PLACE:
13
                2002 Ringling Boulevard
                Sarasota, Florida 34237
14
                September 12, 2018
15
    DATE:
                Commencing at 9:00 a.m.
16
               Lynn N. Silvertooth Judicial Center
    PLACE:
               2002 Ringling Boulevard
17
               Sarasota, Florida 34237
18
19
20
               Nancy Anne Flynn, RPR
    REPORTER:
21
               Notary Public
               State of Florida
22
23
                        Exhibit "A"
24
25
```

ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTING OF SOUTHWEST FLORIDA (941) 951-1560

```
APPEARANCES:
    ATTORNEYS FOR DOROTHY BLOOM:
    MARC J. SOSS, ESQUIRE
   Law Office of Marc J. Soss
    Post Office Box 110127
 5 Lakewood Ranch, Florida 34211
    (941) 928-0310 PHONE
    (888) 814-9869 FAX
    Mjs@fl-estateplanning.com E-MAIL
            and
    ALLAN BAILEY, ESQUIRE
 8
    ATTORNEYS FOR MARSHALL BLOOM:
    JAMES L. ESSENSON, ESQUIRE
10
            and
    BARBARA J. WELCH, ESQUIRE
    MATTHEW J. KELLY, ESQUIRE
    The Law Office of James L. Essenson, P.A.
    2071 Main Street
    Sarasota, Florida 34237
    (941) 954-0303 PHONE
    (941) 951-7739 FAX
14
   essenson&essensonlaw.com E-MAIL
15
   ALSO PRESENT:
   THERESA HALL, Paralegal
   ROBERT ELLIOT, Trustee
18
   G. LOGAN ELLIOT, ESQUIRE.
   Counsel for trustee Rob Elliot
20
   JACK HAWKINS, ESQUIRE
   Counsel for trustee Rob Elliot
22
23
24
25
```

ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTING OF SOUTHWEST FLORIDA (941) 951-1560

1	INDEX		
2	WITNESS: Robert M. Elliot		
3	EXAMINATION BY: The Court Mr. Soss	3 9 4 2	
4	Mr. Logan Elliot	50	
5	Mr. Bailey	53	
6	WITNESS: Mark Soss		
7	EXAMINATION BY: Mr. Essenson	130	
8	Mr. Bailey	147	
9	WITNESS: Robert M. Elliot		
10	EXAMINATION BY: Mr. Essenson Mr. Soss	157 160	
11	The Court	172	
12	RULINGS: 55, 63, 70, 86, 113, 117,	125, 206	
13	EXHIBITS		
14	ESSENSON	ID EVD	
15	1 Transcript of Wascom testimony	91	
16	2 Appointment of Successor Trustee	143	
17	3 Objection	143	
18	4 Motion to Strike Objection	143	
19	5 Notice of Unavailability	143	
20	SOSS DESCRIPTION	IDEVD	
21	A Letter from Schumaker	9 3	
22	B Attachment to trustee's report	120 124	
23	C Transcript of Proceeding	156	
24	D April 6, 2016 Order	161 162	
25			
ı			··············

ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTING OF SOUTHWEST FLORIDA (941) 951-1560

```
then having someone object to it.
              Thank you, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Essenson?
              MR. ESSENSON: I think that said it all.
                             RULING
              THE COURT: As to that supplemental motion
         filed on March 20, 2018, I have heard argument
         and testimony and evidence, and I will make the
         following finding.
 9
              on July 22, 2015, there is a written order
10
         from Judge Charles Williams who was at that
11
         time the presiding judge in this matter, and in
12
         that order he approved the resignation of
13
         Caldwell Trust as a successor trustee.
14
         Parenthetically, in a handwritten note below
15
         his signature, but evidenced by his initials,
16
         Judge Williams wrote: "A successor trustee
17
         shall be presented for appointment by the end
18
         of the business day of July 23, 2015, and
19
         Robert Johnson is an interested party for
20
         purpose of notice and objection to the
21
         successor trustee."
22
              The transcript of the hearing before Judge
23
         Williams indicates on page eleven that Judge
24
         Williams indicated he would allow Mr. Soss's
25
```

client to go ahead and select a successor trustee, reserving on any potential objection by any of the interested parties, and he would find that Mr. Essenson's client, Mr. Johnson, was -- Ms. Walter's client, she was the one at the hearing, was an interested party under the global settlement agreement.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The very next day, July 23, 2015, there's an appointment of a successor trustee signed by Dorothy Bloom appointing Mr. Soss as a successor trustee. There's nothing in the court file to indicate that the successor trustee was ever presented for appointment by the Court, no court order appointing Mr. Soss as a successor trustee or approving the appointment of Mr. Soss as the successor trustee; that within six calendar days, July 29, 2015, there's an objection to the notice of appointment of a successor co-trustee Mark Soss, filed by Mr. Johnson; that in response to that, Mr. Soss filed on August 11th, 2015, a Motion to Strike Objection and Response Thereto in the Alternative, which is signed by Mr. Soss, at least appears to bear his signature in the electronic court file on

August 11, 2015.

There was, at a later time, November 5th, 2015, a Motion to Disqualify Mr. Soss as Successor Trustee and Motion to Appoint Independent Trustee.

So I find, based upon totality of the evidence presented in the record, that it was clear that there was an objection to Mr. Soss serving as co-trustee or trustee; that he was on notice of that fact throughout the time.

The factual findings I'm making are in light of the documents and writings contained in the court file and speak for themselves and don't really call upon the credibility of any witnesses from a factual standpoint.

Subsequent to all that, evidentiary
hearings were held before Judge Williams, and
in his order of April 7, 2016, he entered an
order granting the motion to disqualify Mr.
Soss as successor trustee and appointing
Mr. Elliot as independent personal
representative and independent trustee.

In Judge Williams' order of April 7, actually April 6, 2016, Judge Williams made specific factual findings. In paragraph four

stating that Dorothy Bloom purported to appoint Soss and Raymond James on July 23, 2015. This appointment was never confirmed by the Court as mandated by the July 22, 2015 order approving successor trustee's resignation.

The rest of that paragraph four says, "Based upon this purported appointment, Soss proceeded to act on behalf of the trust."

I find it incredible to believe in good faith that Judge Williams' order was somehow misinterpreted. I think it's perfectly clear from the handwritten language in the order as well as the order Judge Williams entered, albeit after the hearing on the motion to disqualify Mr. Soss, that it was clear that a successor trustee had to be presented and approved by the court or confirmed by the court, and that was never done.

Based upon the --

May I have Exhibit 1, please? Based upon the testimony of Mr. Kevin Hassalm,

H-a-s-s-a-l-m, the accountant for the successor trustee Mr. Elliot, contained within Exhibit 1, he found that the amount of money taken by Mr. Soss, which doesn't include any alleged

s127,860. Out of that amount, \$18,708.75 was reimbursed by attorney John Wascom of the Icard Merrill law firm; \$20,000 of that was paid back by Mr. Soss because of a court order entered by me in a prior hearing. That leaves a balance of \$81,151.25. Mr. Soss has attempted to justify the payments of those monies by way of hiring Mr. Wascom to defend him in his position as trustee against the motion to disqualify as well as the motion to remove Mr. Bloom as the personal representative.

Additionally, it's been suggested that I should consider the lump sum amount of \$9,928 as an appropriate trustee's fee, and six payments of \$2,572 per month for ongoing trustee's fees for Mr. Soss. It was suggested that someone would have to do that and a fee is appropriate. I'm not going to order that the money paid to Mr. Wascom, Icard Merrill firm be refunded.

I will find that while ill-advised and inappropriate, in light of the clear evidence that Mr. Soss should not have been named as the successor trustee, that that money went to

Mr. Wascom and the Icard Merrill law firm, not directly to Mr. Soss. And consequently, to order the return of those funds would be inappropriate and an undue punishment to Mr. Soss.

However, as to the remaining amount of money paid to Mr. Soss, which amounts to \$25,360, the Court finds that that money was improperly paid from the trust because Mr. Soss, although acting as putative or de facto trustee, was not legally entitled to be paid that money in light of the Court's orders, and he was never legally the successor trustee.

I find that it would be an abuse of my discretion to ignore the fact that those payments were received by Mr. Soss at a time when he knew or should have known that he should not have made the payments because he was not an appropriate trustee; that as an experienced probate and trustee attorney, if he had any question about his ability to be presented and approved by the Court as successor trustee, simple enough in light of the fact he clearly knows how to file pleadings with the Court, he could have set a hearing on

the request by Dorothy Bloom to appoint him as successor trustee and had a hearing. That was not done.

So in light of all the evidence, it would

so in light of all the evidence, it would be inappropriate to ignore those payments, and for all of those reasons I am going to order the repayment of \$25,360 from Mr. Soss to the trust. However, in light of the Court's earlier ruling today with respect to the motion for fees and costs on appeal filed by Mr. Soss on the March 14, 2017 order, in which the trustee did not object to and consented to the Court awarding Mr. Soss the amount of \$7,350 in attorneys' fees, I will throw in \$50.50 for court costs, will set off the \$25,360 by the amount of \$7,400.50, which leaves a balance of \$17,959.50, which Mr. Soss will be ordered to repay to the trust.

The Court declines to award interest on that money. And all other relief requested in that motion are deemed to be denied.

2 4

```
9
                  CERTIFICATION
10
          I, NANCY ANNE FLYNN, Registered Professional
    Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the State
11
12
    of Florida, certify;
         That the foregoing proceedings were taken
13
    before me at the time and place therein set forth.
         That the foregoing is a true and correct
15
    transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
         I further certify that I am not a relative
17
    or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor
18
    financially interested in the action.
19
         I declare under penalty of perjury that
20
    the foregoing is true and correct.
21
         Dated this 24th day of September 2018.
22
23
                  Nancy Anno Flynn
24
               NANCY ANNE FLYNN, RPR
25
```